

Comments

- 1) Water-Energy links- should CEC and DWR work together to coordinate energy and water policy/ management?
- 2) SLR graphic
 - Hard to understand where the info came from
 - Maybe just show CA, not so much global influence
- 3) 3.21 is a great graphic
 - Present facts, not so much text
 - Management is more complex
- 4) Spill- for environmental purposes that would be a 'use' not a 'waste', which is implied
 - Relate to biological opinion because timing changes under biological opinion
- 5) Projected conditions 3-21
 - Don't imply there's more storage under future conditions, there is no basis for that.
- 6) SLR- what does that mean for X-2/ salinity? What are the effects?
- 7) Need definition for W-E
 - Conveyance and extraction varies based on local technologies and geography
- 8) It is difficult to understand the bulb sizing

CC comments - Plenary 2 – 10/30/13

Taken verbally and responded by Elissa Lynn, DWR, regarding the RR's, specifically the South Lahontan

From Holly Alpert, Inyo-Mono IRWM

Regarding the South Lahontan report, the climate change section seems out of proportion; too lengthy. Is there a way to trim it **down**?

The climate change section of the SL RR cannot be used as a complete climate change reference, either for regional water managers or the **State**.

Why include the climate change background content in the introduction to the **topic**?

There aren't many regional actions that are **listed**.

The RMS selected; it is not clear that these are tailored to that particular **region**.

Comment [e1]: It is only slightly longer than the climate change content of the other Regional reports, and that is the intent. If the balance of the topics in SL are shorter, that is up to those topic authors to address.

Comment [e2]: The entire cc content within CWP would have to be utilized as a complete reference. This individual RR does not duplicate useful content found in CA Water Today sections. CC program of DWR will be working to consolidate all cc content in 2014 to release a comprehensive paper.

Comment [e3]: To read the RR straight through, the climate change section follows other challenges faced in the region. If the content went right to adaptation and mitigation strategies, there would be no context. Additionally, this content is non duplicative of other useful climate change CA information found in CA Water Today.

Comment [e4]: These are all the actions being undertaken that were valuable to report. As time goes on, there will be more on the ground responses to climate change that we can include. It's a relatively small set of actions to date that are being undertaken.

Comment [e5]: Noted, we will edit that section to be clearer that the RMS listed are singled out for benefit in that particular region.